

NEW LAMP FOR LIGHT CURABLE DENTAL COMPOSITES II - KINETICS AND PERFORMANCE

Marc J.M. ABADIE, Joël COUVE & François DURET

Laboratory of Polymer Science & Advanced Organic Materials LEM/MAO –
University Montpellier 2, Place Eugène Bataillon – 34095 Montpellier Cedex 05 – France.
e-mail: abadie@univ-montp2.fr

Abstract

The new Apollo 95^R lamp manufactured by DMDS – Dental Medical Diagnostic Systems, and recently introduced into the French market, has been tested and evaluated on different photo-polymerisable dental composites.

The kinetic measures taken with a power compensation differential photo-calorimeter (DPC) show the advantages that this new lamp has in terms of reactivity and time saving.

Introduction

Photochemical procedures have greatly developed over the last 15 years and have had a great effect in industry ⁽¹⁾. Odontology has also been effected experiencing the progressive replacement of amalgams by chemio-polymerisable resin composites and photo-polymerisation in dental reconstruction.

This slow evolution is due to the great simplicity in using a photochemical procedure and the important reactivity of the systems offered ⁽²⁾.

A photo-polymerisable composite consists of a photo-initiator that generates radicals, principally camphoroquinone, a resin constituted of oligomers multifunctional acrylates – principally Bis-GMA and mineral charge –silica and /or quartz. Under the effect of the luminous energy, the photo-initiator generates radicals that initiate the polymerisation of the functions of the acrylates, and propagate leading to the reticulation of the organic matrix.

In spite of the presence of charge – often about 80% - compared to the resin, the system hardens, giving a three dimensional, insoluble and infusible lattice.

In the case of dental composites, the initial milieu already has a significant viscosity due to the high percentage of charge. This indicates that the experimental conditions at the outset are not ideal for the practitioner because polymerisation and reticulation of the milieu are carried out in solid state, an even less favourable state than liquid for the reaction of the chain propagation.

Moreover, seeing that the reactions are brought to temperatures $\sim 30^{\circ}\text{C}$, we are well under the T_g of the three-dimensional matrix which is formed, meaning the reaction is rapidly conducted to a vitreous milieu. These factors strongly contribute in restraining the propagation of the

reactions, therefore reducing the reactivity of the system and have a direct incidence on the regularity of the formed lattice.

The reaction is made in a “hostile” milieu and therefore it is important to know if it is better to carry out a rapid reticulation or a slow photochemical reaction.

To answer, we suggest that we study the kinetic parameters “de la prise en masse” (polymerisation and reticulation reactions) considering the enthalpic energy resulting from such reactions. The power compensation photo calorimeter (DPC) which couples the luminous source and the enthalpic analyser, is an ideal tool for measuring the exothermicity of a reaction induced photochemically ^(2,3).

We present the comparative results on the dental composites exposed to a plasma lamp Apollo 95^B and a classic ESPE lamp.

Experimental protocol

1.1 Products

The following products were studied:

- Brillant Enamel B2 Y de Coltène, batch GJ 192
- Tétric A3 de Vivadent, batch 918027
- Z100 A2 MP Restorative, batch 8MC-2001-02 from 3M
- Hélio Progress from Vivadent
- Schein, batch 982G0417
- Solitaire A20, batch 30 from Kulzer

1.2 Method of Analysis

1.2.1 - TGA

The composites were studied by thermic gravimetric analysis TGA from Dupont. The samples were subjected to a temperature gradient of 5K/min, in a nitrogen atmosphere, and a temperature interval of 20-700°C. These analyses permit to determine the hardness ratio of the composite (or ratio of resin), essential for the kinetic study with the photo-calorimeter.

1.22 -- DPC

The power compensation differential photo-calorimetric was modified in order to use either the plasma lamp, Apollo 95^B or the classic ESPE lamp as the luminous source.

1.23 - Units of exposure

1.23 -1 Apollo 95^E lamp

The Apollo 95^B consists of a supply box containing the plasma lamp and a light guide. The light emits a visible light between 400 and 500 nm. The front panel keyboard is used for selecting the “curing” or “bleaching” mode and the time of illumination:

- 1s
- 2s
- 3s
- SC (step curing), a mode corresponding to half power for 1.5 s followed by full power during 4s, a total exposition of 5.5s.

The plasma lamp is manufactured by DMDS – Dental Medical Diagnostic Systems, Ltd.

1.23-2 Classic lamp

We have chosen the classic lamp commercialised by ESPE.

For the purpose the measure head of the DSC was shut in a hermetic box surmounted by a micrometric screw fixed to the housing for the wave-guide. This way the power discharged on to the sample to be analysed can be adjusted precisely at any moment.

Experimental Results

The dental composite consists mainly of charges, acrylic oligomers, of a photo-initiator and additives. In reality the active phase consists only of an oligomer resin, which is responsible for the exothermicity of the reaction, observed during a polymerisation/reticulation of the resin. It is therefore important to know the charge ratio of the composite in order to correct the weight of the sample subjected to light.

The thermogravimetric analysis determines the ratio of charge minerals in each composite. An example of TGA analysis is given in **figure 1** for the Tétric sample from Vivadent, 83.06 % of charge (or 26.94 % of resin, the complement).

The results for the different composites are noted in **Table 1**. It can be noted that the Tétric is the most charged and Hélio the least.

The photocalorimetric analysis determines the kinetic parameters of the polymerisation/reticulation reaction, in particular the following parameters:

- a) - **the Enthalpy of the reaction ΔH** expressed in Joules per gram of resin exposed to the insolation. This energy measured during the reaction is directly proportional to the functions that have reacted during the exposition. For instance the higher the reaction of the functions, the higher the reaction enthalpy. For example **a mol** of methacrylate releases during its first polymerisation an enthalpy of 13.6kcal/mol, that is 668.48J/g of methacrylate.
- b) **the coefficient of velocity k** is expressed in 1/min. It is calculated using the velocity equation of an auto-catalytic system.

$$R = - dC/dt = k C^m(1-C)^n$$

Or C: is the conversion degree of the reaction,
m and n: part order of the reaction, initiation (m) and propagation (n) respectively.

In this equation the final reactions are ignored as we only consider the very beginning of the reaction.

c) **-the time of induction** expressed in seconds, is the time corresponding to the consumption of 1 % of the monomer. It is chosen this way in order to consider the reaction at the beginning therefore enabling easy comparison of one sample to another. The slower the time of induction, the higher the reactivity of the system.

An example of a exothermic graph line is given for the sample Brillant enamel B2 from Coltène exposed for 3s – **figure 2** and the calculation of the velocity coefficient – **figure 3**. All the results of the kinetic parameters obtained with the Apollo 95^E lamp are shown in **table 2** and for the classic lamp ESPE in **table 3**.

The samples that were subjected to the Apollo 95^E lamp show an increase in the reticulation of the resin when the system gradually passes from mode 1s to 2s or 3s even SC.

It can be said – **table 2** – that the optimum of the reaction is given either in the mode SC, either in the mode 3s.

Using the kinetic values, the resins can be classified by order of reactivity in each mode.

- **mode 1s**

Z100>Coltène>Tetric>Helio>Kulzer>Schein

- **mode 2s**

Z100>Coltène>Kulzer>Helio>Tetric>Schein

- **mode 3s**

Z100>Coltène>Tetric>Helio>Kulzer>Schein

- **mode Sc.**

Coltène>Tetric>Z100>Schein>Hélio

The composites can be listed into two groups independent of the exposition mode.

- the most reactive - from a photochemical point of view
Z100, Coltène and Tetric